1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3	
4	ROBERT JOHNSON, Case No. 2:15-cv-02425-JCM-CWH
5	Plaintiff,
6	\mathbf{v} .
7	WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION, ORDER
8	Defendant.
9	
10	Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to compel (ECF No. 38), filed on February 1
11	2017. Defendant has not filed a response.
12	Under Local Rule 26-7(b), all motions to compel discovery must set forth in full the text of
13	the discovery originally sought and any response to it. Local Rule 26-7(c) further requires that
14	motions to compel will not be considered unless they include a declaration setting forth the details
15	and results of the meet and confer conference for each disputed discovery request.
16	Plaintiff has included a certification of a the attempts to meet and confer. However, he has
17	not provided details of the results of the conference for any of the disputed discovery requests. In
18	order to determine the nature and extent of the remaining dispute, the Court requires a description o
19	each disputed discovery request along with an explanation of the status of the dispute after the meet
20	and confer conferences.
21	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to compel (ECF No. 38) is DENIED
22	without prejudice.
23	
24	DATED: February 2, 2017.
25	C. H
26	CW Haffire II
27	C.W. Hoffman, Jr. United States Magistrate Judge
28	1